DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR PROGRAMS
700 ARMY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0700

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

S: 2 December 2002

DAPR-FDR 12 November 2002

MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: FY03 Army Joint Test and Evaluation Nomination Board

1. References:

a. DAMO-FDR memorandum, 16 Jan 98, subject: Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for Army Participation in the Office, Secretary of Defense (OSD) Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E) Program.

b. OUSD memorandum, October 15, 2002, subject: Joint Test & Evaluation Program – FY 03 Nominations.

c. DAPR-FDR memorandum, 28 May 2002, subject: Office, Secretary of Defense (OSD) Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E) FY03 Nominations.

2. You are invited to participate in the Army's FY03 JTE Nomination Board on 12 Dec 02, at 1200 hours, Headquarters, USA Test and Evaluation Command, Command Conference Room. Reference 1a prescribes board membership as follows:

DAPR-FDR, Chairman
Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics and Technology
USA Test and Evaluation Management Agency
DAPR-FDJ
USA Test and Evaluation Command
USA Materiel Command
USA Forces Command
USA Training and Doctrine Command

Additionally, request participation from the Directorate of Strategy, Plans and Policy, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G3 (DAMO-SSP). Please provide the name, phone number and email address of your representatives to the points of contact in paragraph seven by 2 December 2002. Your participation is critical to identifying the best possible candidates to forward to OSD as Army nominations.

3. If you do not have a visit request on file at USATEC, please send requests to Commander, U. S. Army Test and Evaluation Command, ATTN: CSTE-OP-SSI, [redacted] Park Center IV, 4501 Ford Avenue, Alexandria VA 22302-1457. You must stop at the first floor security desk to sign in, whether you have a request on file or not. If you do not file your request, you will require an escort.

4. The purpose of a JT&E is to bring two or more of the Services together to accomplish one or more of the following:

a. Assess the interoperability of Service systems in joint operations and explore potential solutions to identified problems,

b. Evaluate and provide recommendations for improvements in joint technical and operational concepts,

c. Evaluate and validate testing methodologies having multi-Service applications,

d. Assess technical or operational performance of systems under realistic joint operational conditions, or

e. Provide joint field test and exercise data for validating models, simulations, and test beds.

5. Prospective nominations will brief the Board for twenty minutes and answer questions for ten minutes. Following the briefings, the Board will deliberate and rank order the nominations, based on specific criteria (see enclosure). We will also prepare feedback to each nomination on the results of the Board's deliberations. The Board's recommended prioritized list will be forwarded to the Director of Integration, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G8, for approval and transmittal to OSD.

6. We invite you to visit the JTE website at http://www.jte.osd.mil/ to learn more about the program and criteria for a JTE. The Nomination, Joint Feasibility and JTE Handbooks are especially valuable sources.

DAPR-FDR
SUBJECT: FY01 Army Joint Test and Evaluation Nomination Board

7. Please call [redacted] DSN 222-9617/COMM 703-692-9617, [redacted], DSN 222-9616/COMM 703-692-9616, [redacted], for more information.

Enclosure

[redacted]
Colonel, GS
Chief, Programs and
Priorities Division
Force Development

DISTRIBUTION:

Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics and Technology (SAAL-RI)
USA Test and Evaluation Management Agency (DACS-TE)
DAMO-SSZ
DAPR-FDJ
USA Test and Evaluation Command (CSTE-OP-OP)
USA Materiel Command (AMCORS-IEB)
USA Forces Command (AFOP-AC)
USA Training and Doctrine Command (ATCD-EP)
JTE Joint Program Office

JT&E NOMINATION EVALUATION CRITERIA - Page 1

Nomination: _____

Part A. Nomination contents – assign highest score for full attainment of standard; lower scores for partial attainment

Item	Standard	Possible Score	Score	Comments
1. Title	States simple title descriptive of nomination	yes/no		
2. Problem	Provides concise statement of existing situation or problem that is basis for nomination	2 max		
3. Test issues, concepts, objectives, utility, and impact	Provides background of situation or problem and its relationship with associated policies, directives, regulations, and doctrine	2 max		
a. Test issues	States questions that JT&E must answer to resolve the problem	2 max		
b. Concept for addressing test issues	Describes new or revised way of doing something involving combinations of systems, equipment, procedures, and personnel	10 max		
c. Program objectives	Defines specific areas of joint operations or capabilities that will be improved	10 max		
d. Utility/impact	- Assesses expected results and - Projects impact of the proposed JT&E on joint operations and capabilities, related to specific areas of joint capabilities	10 max		
e. Risk	Assesses: - technological risk, - cultural/Service risk, and - probability of success associated with the program objectives	2 max		
f. Overlap	Shows that the proposed JT& E does NOT overlap with current JFS or JT&E activities	yes/no		
g. JFCOM integration	Specifies JFCOM experimental concepts or initiatives that the proposed JT&E addresses directly or peripherally	yes/no		
4. Users of Results	a. Identifies specific organizations that will benefit from JT&E, IAW Policy Letter 98-05	6 max		
	b. Shows coordination with these organizations (see also item 9)	yes/no		

ENCL

JT&E NOMINATION EVALUATION CRITERIA - Page 2 **Nomination:** _____

Item	Standard	Possible Score	Score	Comments
5. Program schedule and milestones for proposed JT&E	a. Identifies test activities and events that must be accomplished during the proposed JT&E	1 max		
	b. yields early results to customers, IAW Policy Letter 98-07	yes/no		
6. Unique requirements	Identifies: - special participants, threats, simulations, ranges, environments, instrumentation, communications - equipment and facilities not readily available - equipment, models, and instrumentation required to be modified or developed	yes/no/na		
7. Lead and participating Services	a. Identifies lead and at least one additional participating Service	yes/no		
	b. Provides rationale for lead and participating Services	yes/no		
	c. Describes initial Service coordination (see also item 9)	7 max		
8. Specific participants	Identifies required participating organizations for JT&E	1 max		
9. Outline test concept	a. Shows accomplishments required to execute JT&E	1 max		
	b. Provides sufficient detail to evaluate technical feasibility of nomination (basis of Program Test Design if nomination approved)	1 max		
	c. Defines the critical factors that will determine JT&E scope, IAW Policy Letter 99-01	1 max		
	d. Describes the legacy products the JT&E will produce	6 max		
10. Plan of action and milestones for JFS	a. Identifies JFS location	item 15		
	b. Identifies who will be responsible for its conduct	4 max		
	c. Identifies responsibilities for facilities, funding, and resources	yes/no		
	d. Contains JFS WBS and schedule	4 max		
	e. Identifies milestones for reviews at critical points in JFS	4 max		
11. Estimate of funds and resources	- Administrative and projected test activities - Developed by identifying what, where, when, and how long resources will be required; cost each resource, and then aggregating the cost - by provider (OSD, each Service) - by fiscal year required			
	a. JFS	4 max		
	b. JT&E	1 max		

JT&E NOMINATION EVALUATION CRITERIA - Page 3 **Nomination:** _____

Item	Standard	Possible Score	Score	Comments
12. Known/projected resource shortfalls	- Addresses all known or projected resource shortfalls - Recommends how to overcome shortfalls - States impact if they cannot be resolved	yes/no/na		
13. Organizations concurring	a. Identifies organizations concurring in nomination	7 max		
	b. Describes extent and level of support for the nomination by each concurring organization	7 max		
	c. Describes significance of each concurrence in terms of joint capability	7 max		
	d. Identifies organizations non-concurring in nomination	yes/no		
	e. Assesses impact of each non-concurrence	yes/no/na		
14. Nominee for JFS Director	a. Provides name, qualifications, and PCS/PRD date of FSD nominee	yes/no		
	b. Nominee is 05/06 (GS/GM of equivalent rank) with T&E experience and background in subject matter of nomination	yes/no/na		
	c. Addresses current availability of FSD nominee	yes/no/na		
	d. Addresses availability of FSD for transition to JTF	yes/no/na		
15. JFS location	a. Describes recommend location	yes/no		
	b. Assesses availability of facilities and support	yes/no		
	Part A TOTAL	100 max		

COMMENTS - address each "no" score in items 1, 3f, 3g, 4b, 5b, 6, 7a, 7b, 10c, 12, 13d, 13e, 14a-d, 15a, 15b.

 Nomination: _____

Part B. DoD Directive 5010.41 Compliance – "a JT&E brings two or more Military Departments, Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, or DoD Field Activities together to accomplish at least one of the following:"

Item	Applies?
1. Assess the interoperability of Service systems in joint operations and explore potential solutions to identified problems	
2. Evaluate and provide recommendations to the Military Departments and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on improvements in joint technical and operational concepts	
3. Evaluate and validate testing methodologies having multi-Service application	
4. Assess technical or operational performance of interrelated and/or interacting systems under realistic joint operational conditions	
5. Provide data from joint field tests and exercises with which to validate models, simulations, and test beds	
COMMENTS - at least one item above must apply to the proposed JT&E.	

SUMMARY

Part A. Nomination contents Total Score: _____/100

Part B. **DoD Directive 5010.41 Compliance** Meets at least one of the JT&E Criteria: Yes / No

Recommendation:

☐ Approve

☐ Disapprove

☐ Return to nominating organization for revision, expansion, or additional justification

_____
Signature of Reviewer